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Abstract—In our previous work we demonstrated that by eliminating regulation and rectification modules from the energy harvesting pathway, the minimum activation power of a piezoelectricity-driven hot-electron injector (p-HEI) can be reduced down to a few nanowatts. As a result the p-HEI device could be used for self-powered, in-vivo recording of biomechanical strain variations. However, for large magnitudes of input strain energy, the response of the modified p-HEI sensor was found to be quasi-linear with respect to the number of loading cycles, which made the calibration of the sensor difficult across a wide variety of biomedical applications. In this paper we propose a compensation circuit that is able to linearize the response of the p-HEI injector over a wide range of input power while maintaining a low activation threshold. The compensation circuit uses a combination of a storage capacitor and a non-linear resistor which produces a compressive input-output response required for linearization. Using prototypes fabricated in a 0.5-µm bulk CMOS process we validate the functionality of the injector and demonstrate that it can achieve a linear injection response for input power ranging from 5 nW to 1.5 µW.
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I. INTRODUCTION

CONTINUOUS monitoring of variations in mechanical strain presents a significant challenge when the sensor is operating in an embedded and an implanted environment due to limitations on the size of the sensor and due to unavailability of a continuous and reliable energy source (e.g. solar or radio-frequency) [1], [2], [3], [4]. Self-powered sensors based on piezoelectricity driven hot-electron injectors (p-HEI) can overcome these limitations by scavenging its operational energy directly from the strain-variations without requiring any additional source of energy [5], [6], [7]. As a result these devices can continuously monitor and store the statistics of the mechanical strain variations without experiencing any loss of data. The stored statistics could then be retrieved offline, using a radio-frequency [8] or an ultrasonic interface [9]. However, the applicability of a p-HEI sensor to different biomedical applications is determined by the power harvested by the transducers which in turn is determined by the level of ambient strain-variations, the volume of the transducer and the transducer’s material properties. Fig. 1 compares different levels of electrical power that can be harvested from strain-variations in different biomechanical structures (ranging from stents in blood-vessels to a knee-implant) and by using different types of piezoelectric material. For example, using a Rochelle salt crystal (with $d_{21} = 700$) as an energy transducer, approximately a few nanowatts of power can be harvested from strain-variations at the surface of a blood-vessel (feature size in µm). The harvested energy could be used to monitor the mechanical usage of a stent implanted in a vessel [10]. On the other end of the energy/size spectrum, a PZT-5H ceramic embedded inside a sports helmet could be used to harvest milliwatts of power and used for monitoring head-impacts in contact sports [11]. In all these diverse applications, a p-HEI sensor could sense, compute and store historical strain-level statistics which can then be used either for diagnosis or for predicting any impending mechanical failure. Example of usage statistics include the total duration for which the structure experienced strain-levels that exceeded a pre-determined threshold level [8].

In [13] we had proposed a p-HEI sensor that could operate at power levels as small as 5 nW. Compared to the previous generation of p-HEI sensors [6], [7], this was an order of magnitude improvement in energy-efficiency. As a result, the
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sensor could be miniaturized and could be used for implanted monitoring of strain-variations on the surface of a bone [13]. While the response of the injector was demonstrated to be linear for input power levels less than 50nW, its response become quasi-linear as the level of input power increased. This obviates the use of the technique for statistical monitoring of strain-levels in a range of applications shown in Fig. 1, where the harvestable power could vary from 1 nW to 1 mW. Addressing this limitation and extending the linear operating range is the main focus of this paper.

Fig. 2 shows the schematic of the p-HEI sensor circuit that was reported in [13]. A piezoelectric transducer operating in strain-mode has been modeled by its low-frequency equivalent circuit which directly drives the input of a floating-gate transistor. By eliminating the biasing and regulation circuitry from the direct signal path and by coupling the physics of piezoelectric energy transduction with the dynamics of hot-electron injection on the floating-gate transistor, the circuit is able to reduce its activation energy. A typical response of such injector as reported in [13] is illustrated in Fig. 2(c), where each curve corresponds to a different magnitude of input power. The response is quasi-linear with respect to time and the degree of non-linearity increases with magnitude of the input power. In this paper, we propose a compensation circuit with an input-output response as illustrated in Fig. 2(d). The objective will be to combine the injector response shown in Fig. 2(c) with the compressive response shown in Fig. 2(d) to obtain a linearized response shown in Fig. 2(e) using the compressive response to pre-compensate and linearize the p-HEI injector reported in [13].

The paper is organized as follows: Section II presents a mathematical model describing the operation of the proposed p-HEI injector, followed by Section III which presents system implementation and measurement results obtained from fabricated prototypes of the injector. Section IV concludes the paper with a discussion on the functionality of the proposed injector.

II. Mathematical Model of Compressive Low-power Injector

In a p-HEI sensor, the flow of electrons generated by a piezoelectric transducer as a result of a mechanical loading event is used to generate hot-electrons in the channel of a MOSFET transistor. Some of the hot-electrons generated in the channel surmount the gate-oxide energy barrier and manifest themselves as injection or gate current. If the gate terminal is terminated only by a capacitive load (also called floating-gate), any charge that is injected into the gate cannot leak out and results in a permanent change in the floating-gate voltage. This change in voltage is measured and then can be used to infer the statistics of the mechanical excitation. In this paper we introduce a compressive response circuit to modulate the interface between the piezoelectric transducer and the floating-gate transistor. An equivalent circuit model combining the

Fig. 2. Architecture of a p-IHEI sensor: (a) as reported in [13] without pre-compensation; and (b) proposed using a compensation circuit. The modules "D" and "B" in (a) denote biasing circuits for gate and bulk terminals. (c) Quasi-linear response of the injector shown in (a) as a function of mechanical loading; (d) desired response of the compensation circuit for different compression parameters $C_i$; and (e) Linearization effect by combining the responses in (c) and (d).
model of a piezoelectric transducer, the compressive response circuit and the equivalent circuit of a floating-gate pMOS transistor is shown in Fig. 3. A piezoelectric transducer operating in strain-mode (non-resonance mode) is modeled as a series connection of voltage source and a capacitor [15]. The voltage source \( V_S \) models the electrical signal transduced by the strain variations and the capacitor \( C_S \) models the mechanical stiffness of the transducer. Both these variables are a function of the dimensions, the material properties and the mechanical configuration of the piezoelectric transducer. For a cantilever configuration of a transducer with dimensions \( w \times l \times h \), the open-source voltage \( (V_S) \) generated can be expressed in terms of the mechanical force \( (F) \) perpendicular along the length as [15]:

\[
V_S = \frac{F}{w} g_{31} = S(t) Y^E h g_{31} = \frac{S(t) Y^E d_{31} h}{\epsilon_p} \quad (1)
\]

where \( g_{31} \) and \( d_{31} \) are piezoelectric constants, \( S(t) \) is the time-varying mechanical strain, \( Y^E \) is the short circuit elastic modulus and \( \epsilon_p \) is the electrical permittivity of the material.

The capacitance in the equivalent circuit model is given by

\[
C_S = \epsilon_p \frac{w \times l}{h} \quad (2)
\]

The gate injection current \( I_{inj} \) is based on a model for hot-electron generation in a floating-gate transistor [7] relating the injection current \( I_{inj} \) to the terminal voltage \( V_L \) and the transistor source current \( I_S \). This is expressed as [16]:

\[
I_{inj} = -\beta I_S \exp\left(\frac{V_L}{V_{inj}}\right) \quad (3)
\]

where \( \beta \) and \( V_{inj} \) are injection parameters that are a function of the transistor size and process parameters. When the source-to-drain voltage is larger than 200 mV, the channel current of a PMOS floating-gate transistor biased in weak-inversion region can be expressed in terms of its source voltage \( V_L \), floating-gate voltage \( V_{fg} \) as [17]

\[
I_S = I_0 \exp\left(\frac{-V_{fg}}{kU_T}\right) \exp\left(\frac{V_L}{U_T}\right) \quad (4)
\]

where \( I_0 \) is the characteristic current which is a function of process parameters, \( U_T \) is thermal voltage (≈26 mV at 300 K).
and $\kappa$ is the gate efficiency factor. Here we assume the bulk $V_B$ is connected to the source terminal. The transducer driving current $I_x$ flowing through the source capacitance $C_S$ can be expressed as:

$$I_x = C_S \frac{dV_S - dV_L}{dt}$$  (5)

By exploiting the small signal model we have

$$I_C = \frac{V_D}{R_C}$$  (6)

Notice that $I_C$ charges and discharges $C_t$, we have

$$I_C = C_C \frac{dV_L - dV_D}{dt}$$  (7)

Since the injection current is relatively small, we have

$$I_x = I_C + \frac{V_L}{r_{ds}} + I_S + C_{gs} \frac{dV_L}{dt}$$  (8)

The change in floating-gate charge due to the injection current $I_{inj}$ can be expressed by the following first order differential equation:

$$C_T \frac{dV_{fg}}{dt} = I_{inj}$$  (9)

where $C_T = C_{og} + C_{tun} + C_{gs}$ is the total capacitance with $C_{og}$ being the gate-coupling capacitor, $C_{tun}$ being the tunneling capacitor and $C_{gs}$ being the gate-to-source capacitance. Given the form of $V_S$, the dependence of $V_{fg}$ with respect to $t$ can be derived by solving the differential equations 1-9. However, coupled form of the differential equations makes it difficult to derive a closed-form dependence of $V_{fg}$ with respect to $t$. Instead we evaluate the response of the equivalent circuit for two boundary conditions and then extrapolate between the two responses.

The first boundary condition occurs when the magnitude of the input signal is small enough that $R_C(V_L) \approx \infty$. This is depicted by phase I in Fig. 3(d) where the piezo-capacitance $C_S$ and the parasitic capacitance of the injector $C_P$ act as a voltage divider. $V_L$ and $V_S$ are related as

$$\Delta V_L \approx \frac{C_S}{C_S + C_P} \Delta V_S$$  (10)

Note that since $C_P \ll C_S$, $\Delta V_L \approx \Delta V_S$, and the response of $V_L$ follows the changes of $V_S$.

The other boundary condition occurs when the magnitude of the input signal is large enough that $R_C(V_L) \approx 0$ and is depicted by phase III in Fig. 3(d). During this condition $V_L$ and $V_S$ are related as

$$\Delta V_L = \frac{C_S}{C_S + C_C} \Delta V_S$$  (11)

If we choose $C_C \gg C_S$, then the input-output response is $\Delta V_L \approx 0$ as illustrated in the phase III of Fig. 3(d). In the intermediate phase II the response can be extrapolated between phase I and phase III as

$$\Delta V_L = \left(\gamma \frac{C_S}{C_S + C_P} + \theta \frac{C_S}{C_S + C_C}\right) \Delta V_S$$  (12)

where $\gamma$ and $\theta$ are weighting coefficients that can be adjusted to achieve the desired pre-compensation.

III. SYSTEM IMPLEMENTATION AND MEASUREMENT RESULTS

A. Circuit Implementation of the Injector

Fig. 4 shows the complete schematic of the proposed injector implemented in a standard bulk CMOS process. The design is fully differential as a result of which the circuit can be directly driven by a piezoelectric transducer without any rectification. $P_1$ is the floating-gate transistor and $C_{fg}$ is the control-gate that couples the control-gate voltage $V_{cg}$ with the floating-gate voltage $V_{fg}$. $C_{tun}$ is a junction capacitance used for tunneling electrons out of the floating-gate according to the procedure described in our previous papers [6] to program the initial charge on the FG node. The cross-coupled transistor pair $P_2$ and $P_3$ ensures that the substrate of $P_1$ is maintained at the highest potential irrespective of the polarity of the input voltages $V_{in1}$ and $V_{in2}$. The diode chain $D_1$ to $D_{14}$ serve three functions: (a) they limit the input voltage amplitude [$V_{in1} - V_{in2}$] to be within a compliant operating range; (b) they generate the bias for the control-gate voltage $V_{cg}$ to be $V_{dio}$, where $V_{dio}$ is the voltage drop on a p-n diode ($D_7$ and $D_{14}$ in Fig. 4); and (c) they modulate the gate voltages of transistors $M_{C1}$ and $M_{C2}$ based on the difference in the input voltages $V_{in1}$ and $V_{in2}$. Thus, $M_{C1}$, $C_{C1}$ and $M_{C2}$, $C_{C2}$ implements a differential version of $R_C$ and $C_C$ shown in Fig. 3(a). Because the sizes of the capacitors $C_{C1}$ and $C_{C2}$ are comparable to the source capacitance of the piezoelectric transducer, they have been implemented off-chip. The transistor sizes of $M_{C1}$ and $M_{C2}$ were chosen to be $15 \mu m$ x $15 \mu m$. To avoid the parasitic p-n-p transistor formed by the p-n diode with p-substrate, $D_1$-$D_6$ and $D_{7}$-$D_{13}$ are realized using PMOS transistor whose bulk voltage is interpoled by a circuit similar to a configuration formed by $P_3$.

A unity-gain buffer with DC open-loop gain larger than 75 dB is used for reading out the voltage on the floating-gate node as shown in Fig. 4. To prevent the floating-gate charge from leaking to the oxide through the vias, the FG node is formed using the first polysilicon layer and is connected to the input of the amplifier through the same poly layer. To avoid hot-electron injection through the gate of the input transistors of the read-out amplifier, the voltage of the FG is maintained below 3.4 V during readout phase. Also, when the injector is self-powered through the energy transducer, the power to the read-out buffer is disabled.

B. Measurement Results

The injector was prototyped in a standard 0.5-$\mu$m CMOS process using circuit components whose form factors are summarized in the table I. The micrograph of the fabricated prototype is shown in Fig. 5 and the injector circuit occupies an area of 200 $\mu$m x 150 $\mu$m.

The first group of experiments was designed to verify the dynamic response of the compensation circuit. A signal generator was used for generating a periodic ramp signal with different rise-times and at a duty-cycle of 10% and the signal is directly applied to the input of the injector. For this experiment, the circuit in Fig. 4 was configured in a single-ended mode.
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Fig. 4. Circuit schematic of a complete fully-differential p-IHEI injector with a fully differential compensation module.

![Micro-photograph of the fabricated prototype.](image)

**TABLE I. SPECIFICATIONS OF THE PROTOTYPED CIRCUIT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>0.5-µm CMOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Die Size</td>
<td>1.5 × 1.5 mm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layout Size</td>
<td>200 × 150 µm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Current</td>
<td>&lt; 1 nA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diode Current</td>
<td>&lt; 0.2 nA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power range</td>
<td>5 nW - 1.5 µW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5. Micro-photograph of the fabricated prototype.

with one of the input terminals connected to ground. The compression capacitor \( C_C \) is chosen as 10 nF. Fig. 6(a) shows the measured \( V_D \) when the input rate is set to 50 V/s. The measured response shows that as the input signal ramps up, the transistor \( M_{C1} \) turns ON. As a result, the pull-down current through the transistor is larger than the displacement current flowing through the capacitor (which is a function of the signal ramp-rate). As a result, the capacitor is fully engaged which will result in the response illustrated in phase III in Fig. 3. However, when the ramp-rate is increased, as shown in Fig. 6(b)-(d), \( V_D \) is able to track \( V_L \) implying the capacitor is initially disengaged (unconnected) before being connected to the network. Thus, the dynamic response of the compensation circuit will be able to dynamically interpolate between the phases I and III of the desired response. This dynamic response will be a function of the capacitance \( C_{C1} \) and the gate voltage of \( M_{C1} \) which is determined by the diode network in Fig. 4.

The second experiment was designed to verify the compressive response of the compensation circuit. For this setup the piezoelectric transducer was emulated using a current source \( I_p \) parallel with a source capacitor \( C_p \) as illustrated in Fig. 7. \( C_p \) was chosen to be 1 µF, and \( C_C \) was chosen to be 2 µF. The load resistor \( R_L \) was set to be 10 MΩ. A Keithley 2400 source-meter was used to generate 5 µA, 10 µA, 20 µA, and 40 µA of current as shown in Fig. 8(a), (b), (c), and (d) respectively. The measured response, shown in Fig. 8(a), can be explained using four temporal phases. In phase 1, \( V_D \) follows the change in \( V_L \) because the capacitor \( C_C \) is disengaged. During this stage, most of the power is consumed by the load \( R_L \). In phase 2, the transistor \( M_1 \) starts drawing current and most of the source current is used to charge the energy storage capacitor \( C_C \). As a result \( V_L \) starts to show a compressive response. When \( M_1 \) is completely on, the circuits enter into stage 3, namely the compensation mode, the capacitor \( C_C \) is fully engaged. During the last stage, current source is turned OFF and the energy stored in the capacitor \( C_C \) and \( C_C \) is discharged through the load \( R_L \). Similar to the previous experiment, the dynamic response of the compensation circuit are verified for different magnitudes of the source current. The results also imply that with large input power, large \( C_C(i = 1, 2) \) is required for effective compression.

The next set of experiments verified the operation of the injector under three different source models: constant current source, constant voltage source and constant power source. A Keithley 2400 source meter was used to bias the injector in different modes. In constant current mode, a current source was applied directly to the injector in Fig. 3(b). Fig 9 shows the measured response of the injector. Because the floating gate voltage \( V_{fg} \) decreases, the source voltage will also decrease implying a slower injection rate. Therefore, constant current...
Fig. 6. Measured response of the compensation circuit for different signal-rates: (a) 50 V/s, (b) 500 V/s, (c) 5000 V/s, and (d) pulse stimulus.

Fig. 7. Schematic of the test setup used for verifying the compressive response of the compensation circuit.

Injection demonstrates a saturation behavior as shown in Fig. 9.

In contrast, for constant voltage mode, where the source-to-drain voltage of transistor $M_2$ in Fig. 3 is kept constant, the injection rate will first increase because the source current increases, as shown by equation 3. However, when the current is large, the overdrive voltage dropped in the pinch-off region decreases which implies the energy of hot electrons will decrease at the same time. When this negative effect overcomes the positive feedback brought by the increasing channel current, the injection speed starts to decrease. This saturation process is also illustrated by the measured results shown in Fig. 10.

In constant power mode, we used the Keithley source meter to periodically update the voltage and current to ensure the input power to the injector is constant. The measured responses with constant input power from 5 nW to 15 nW are shown in Fig. 11, which shows a quasi-linear response. The constant-power operation of the proposed hot-electron injector can be understood intuitively based on the following two observations: (a) constant current mode hot-electron injection is a negative-feedback process and $V_{fg}(t)$ shows a saturating response as shown in Fig. 9; and (b) constant voltage mode hot-electron injection is a positive-feedback process where $V_{fg}(t)$ decreases exponentially as shown in Fig. 10. Thus, when the input power is constrained to be constant (or product of input voltage and input current is constant) the hot-electron injection should interpolate between both the negative and positive feedback process, leading to a quasi-linear response. The measured results of the injector are consistent with the conclusions in [13].

For the fourth set of experiments the functionality of the proposed injector was validated by interfacing with a miniature commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) piezoelectric transducer (dimensions: 10mm × 5mm × 0.1mm, material: PZT-5H) operating in a strain-mode. Since the response of the piezoelectric
transducer have already been verified in our previous papers using different biomedical phantoms [13] (as shown in the inset of Fig. 14), we have emulated the response using a benchtop set up which can be configured to generate different levels of input power. Note that due to the diversity of applications that the proposed injector could be applied to (shown in Fig. 1), a controlled phantom / test-bed experiment seemed more appropriate. This way we are be able to verify the response of the sensor while avoiding issues related with packaging and sensor attachment with different biomechanical structures, like bone, stents and wearables. For the benchtop experiments, the transducer was subject to periodic mechanical excitation (step displacement) such that it generated different levels of output power. To measure the power delivered by the transducer, a resistive load was connected to the output of the cantilever. For a specific mechanical excitation pattern,
the voltage was measured across the resistive load which was then used to determine the output power level. The resistor was then replaced by the fabricated injector and the transducer was again subjected to similar mechanical excitation. A pair of off-chip capacitors with 1.5 nF capacitance were connected as the compressive capacitor. The injectors’ response to the cantilever with different input power levels are shown in Fig. 12, which validate the quasi-linear response.

The next set of experiments were designed to determine the effect of compensation circuit parameters on the linearization of the proposed injector. Different compensation capacitances with values ranging from 0 nF to 2 nF were used and the measured responses are shown in Fig. 13. $C_C = 0$ equals to the case that there is no compensation circuit and the circuit degenerates to the case described in [13]. With the increase of capacitance, the charge injected to the FG node is decreased due to the compressive response of the compensation circuit. As a result, the linearity of the injector is improved validating the functionality of the compensation circuit.

The last experiment was designed to verify that the proposed injector could be adapted to different applications with different input power by adjusting the magnitude of the compensation capacitor. A ceramic piezoelectric transducer (Outer diameter: 20 mm, inner diameter:15 mm, material: PZT) was integrated with the injector to generate larger power output. The compression capacitor was chosen as 100 nF to accommodate power ranging from 1 µW to 2 µW. The piezoelectric transducer was subject to periodic mechanical excitations. The floating gate voltage was initialized to 3.4 V and injector’s response was measured and recorded as shown in Fig. 14, which displays an almost linear dependence with respect to the number of mechanical loading cycles.

Fig. 15 summarizes the response of the linearized injectors for different input power levels which also includes the error residue estimated after a linear regression over the measured data. The linearity of the injection results can be estimated by the ratio between the injection range and the largest regression error, and the measured results show that the proposed method can achieve a linearity of more than 25 dB, which is significantly better than [13]. Note that in [7] we had reported a linear injector with more than 40dB linear range; however, the injector could only be activated at power levels greater than 100nW. This design therefore represents a significant improvement over the prior work. Also note that the linearity of the proposed injector can be further improved by choosing
a larger value of the compensation capacitance, however at the expense of lower sensitivity. For instance, when the input power is reduced to as low as 5 nW, the injector shows a linear response without any compensation. However, when the input power level was increased the compensation circuit requires a larger capacitance for linearization. As an example, a 2 nF capacitor is sufficient to achieve a linearity of 25dB for an average input power of 75 nW applied over a duration of 1000 loading cycles. When the input power is increased to 1500 nW, the capacitance had to be increased to 100 nF. In principle the compensation circuit should be able to linearize the response of the injector beyond 1500 nW by appropriately choosing a larger value of the capacitance. Table II summarizes a prescription of a minimum value of the compensation capacitor that needs to be chosen to linearize the response of the injector. Note that a larger compensation capacitance would enhance the linearity of the injector, however, the sensitivity of the measurement will also reduce, as was demonstrated in Fig. 13. Conversely, for compensation capacitances greater than the minimum value shown in Table II, the linearity would be enhanced for power levels lower than what is specified in Table II. This implies that the value of the compensation capacitor is a design parameter that needs to be chosen based on the target application and the size and material properties of the piezoelectric transducer, as shown in Fig. 1. Also shown in Table II is the extrapolated minimum value of compensation capacitor required to linearize the injector at mW of input power. Thus, the proposed injector could potentially replace the linear injector that was previously used for self-powered monitoring of head-impacts in helmeted sports [11].

### Table II. Minimum Compensation Capacitance for Linearization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Power</th>
<th>Capacitance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 nW</td>
<td>0 nF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 nW</td>
<td>1.5 nF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 µW</td>
<td>100 nF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 mW</td>
<td>100 µF(extrapolated)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. Conclusions

In this paper, we proposed an improvement to our previously reported ultra-low power CMOS hot-electron injector by linearizing its response to different magnitudes of input power. As a result the proposed injector can be used in a variety of applications that require continuous and implanted monitoring of biomechanical strain. For each application and for each input power range, the magnitude of the compensation capacitor needs to be chosen to be larger than a minimum experimentally determined value, to achieve the target linear
response. Future work in this area will focus on adaptively choosing the value of the compensation capacitor based on historical statistics or by using a well defined deployment protocol.
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